Bulletin of Islamic Research
ISSN (Online): 3031-4526
Received: 03-01-2023, Revised: 15-01-2023
Accepted: 15-02-2023, Published: 29-03-2023
DOI: https://doi.org/10.69526/bir.v1i1.349

Great Power Politics and The Fourth Industrial Revolution: The Geo-Economics Of Technological Sovereignty

Mukhtar Imam[1]

Abstract

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) is reshaping global power dynamics through technological innovations such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and the Internet of Things (IoT). This ***paper explores*** *the geopolitical implications of 4IR, particularly focusing on the concept of technological sovereignty and its impact on great power politics. As nations compete for technological supremacy, their strategies for achieving and maintaining control over critical technologies have become central to both national security and economic policies. This study adopts a* ***qualitative research*** *approach, employing case study analysis to examine the technological strategies of leading global powers, including the United States, China, and the European Union. Additionally, document analysis is used to explore policy reports and academic literature related to technological sovereignty, 4IR, and geopolitical rivalries. Thematic and comparative analyses are utilized to identify key patterns in the strategies of these powers, highlighting the intersection of technological competition, national security, and economic strategies.* ***The findings*** *of this research underscore the importance of technological sovereignty in the context of 4IR and provide insights into how nations navigate the complex terrain of global technological leadership.*

Keywords: *Great Power Politics; Fourth Industrial Revolution; Geo-economics; Technological Sovereignty.*

[1] Almuhibbah Open University, Abuja, Nigeria, Email: mukhtarimam01@gmail.com

Introduction

The advent of the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) represents a paradigm shift in the way societies operate, economies function, and geopolitical strategies are formulated. Characterized by the convergence of digital, physical, and biological systems, the 4IR is driven by innovations such as AI, blockchain, and IoT [1]. These technologies have the potential to transform industries, enhance productivity, and address global challenges. However, they also introduce new dimensions of competition and cooperation among great powers.

Great power politics, defined by the strategic interactions between leading global powers, are inherently intertwined with the technological advancements of the 4IR. Nations vie for dominance in emerging technologies, as control over these technologies translates to economic and strategic advantages [2]. In this context, technological sovereignty—the ability of a nation to independently develop, use, and control critical technologies—becomes a crucial aspect of national security and economic policy [1].

Method

The primary aim of this research would be to explore and understand the geopolitical dynamics and economic policies surrounding technological sovereignty in the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR). Given the complexity of the subject matter, which involves international relations, technological innovation, and national security, qualitative methods are ideal for uncovering the underlying factors driving these geopolitical and economic interactions [3].

The data collection methods for this research would include case study analysis and document analysis. By focusing on countries or regions such as the United States, China, and the European Union, the researcher can gain insights into the strategies employed by these great powers to secure technological leadership [4]. The study will examine national policies, strategic investments in research and development (R&D), and the competitive technological environment in these regions [5]. Additionally, document analysis will involve reviewing policy reports, academic articles, and governmental publications related to technological sovereignty, 4IR, and international trade to understand the broader context of the research topic.

Data analysis will primarily employ thematic analysis and comparative analysis. Thematic analysis will be used to identify recurring themes such as technological sovereignty, national security, economic policies, and the impact of geopolitical rivalries [6]. These themes will help in understanding how different nations approach the quest for technological dominance [7]. Additionally,

comparative analysis will be essential to contrast the different approaches of global powers, such as the U.S.-China rivalry, with strategies pursued by the European Union or other key players in the global technological landscape.

A qualitative approach is appropriate for this research, as it allows for a deeper exploration of complex and multifaceted issues that cannot be easily quantified, such as international competition, national security concerns, and the ethical implications of technological development [8]. The qualitative research design facilitates the exploration of how great powers interact and influence technological trends and global policies [9]. By focusing on case studies, this research will provide a comprehensive understanding of the strategies and policies that shape the global technological ecosystem.

Finally, ethical considerations in research must be taken into account, particularly in the use of secondary data sources. The researcher will ensure proper citation of all documents, maintain academic integrity, and adhere to ethical guidelines related to the analysis and presentation of data. By employing this methodological approach, the research will offer valuable insights into how technological sovereignty is intertwined with great power politics in the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

Result and Discussion

Geopolitical Rivalry in the 4IR Era

Historical Context

The relationship between technology and geopolitics is not a novel concept. Historical precedents illustrate how technological advancements have shaped global power dynamics. For instance, the Industrial Revolution of the 18th and 19th centuries positioned the United Kingdom as a global leader, while the technological innovations of the 20th century, such as nuclear technology and space exploration, defined the Cold War rivalry between the United States and the Soviet Union [2].

In the 21st century, the 4IR introduces a new wave of technological innovations that are reshaping geopolitical competition. Unlike previous industrial revolutions, the 4IR is characterized by the rapid pace of technological change and the global interconnectedness of economies[10]. As a result, the competition for technological leadership has intensified, with great powers seeking to secure their positions in the global technological hierarchy [3].

Technological Hegemony

Technological hegemony refers to the dominance of a nation or group of nations in key technological domains. In the context of the 4IR, technological hegemony is a critical factor in shaping global power dynamics. Great powers such as the United States, China, and the European Union are engaged in a strategic contest to achieve and maintain technological leadership [11].

The United States has historically been a leader in technological innovation, with Silicon Valley serving as the epicentre of global tech entrepreneurship. American companies such as Google, Apple, and Microsoft have played a pivotal role in shaping the digital economy[12]. However, the rise of China as a technological powerhouse has introduced new dynamics to the global tech landscape. Chinese companies like Huawei, Tencent, and Alibaba have made significant strides in AI, telecommunications, and e-commerce, challenging the dominance of their American counterparts [4].

The US-China Technological Rivalry

The technological rivalry between the United States and China is a defining feature of the 4IR era. This competition extends beyond economic considerations and encompasses national security, ideological differences, and global influence.

Economic Competition

The United States and China are engaged in a race to develop and deploy advanced technologies. Both nations are investing heavily in research and development (R&D) to gain a competitive edge. For example, China's "Made in China 2025" initiative aims to transform the nation into a global leader in high-tech industries such as robotics, aerospace, and biotechnology [5].

National Security

Technological advancements have significant implications for national security. The United States has expressed concerns about the potential for Chinese technology companies to engage in espionage and data theft. In response, the US government has imposed restrictions on Chinese tech firms, including bans on the use of Huawei equipment in critical infrastructure.

Global Influence

Technological leadership translates to global influence. The United States and China are vying for dominance in setting international standards for emerging technologies. For example, the race to establish 5G networks has implications for the control of the global telecommunications infrastructure.

Economic Policies and Geo-Economics

The intersection of technology and geo-economics is marked by nations' economic policies aimed at achieving technological sovereignty. These policies include substantial investments in research and development, protective measures such as tariffs and sanctions, and the establishment of strategic partnerships [6].

Research and Development

Investment in R&D is a cornerstone of technological sovereignty. Great powers recognize the importance of fostering innovation and have implemented policies to support R&D activities. For example, the United States has established initiatives such as the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) to fund cutting-edge research [6].

China's approach to R&D is characterized by a combination of state-led initiatives and private sector involvement. The Chinese government has allocated substantial resources to R&D through programs such as the "863 Program" and the "National Medium- and Long-Term Program for Science and Technology Development." Additionally, Chinese tech giants like Tencent and Alibaba invest heavily in R&D to drive innovation [7].

Protective Measures

To protect their technological assets and promote domestic industries, great powers implement protective measures such as tariffs, sanctions, and export controls. These measures are designed to shield domestic industries from foreign competition and secure critical technologies.

The United States has employed tariffs and sanctions as part of its strategy to counter China's technological rise. For example, the US government has imposed tariffs on Chinese goods and restricted the export of sensitive technologies to Chinese firms [1]. These measures are intended to curtail China's access to advanced technologies and protect American intellectual property.

The European Union has also implemented protective measures to safeguard its technological sovereignty. The EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is an example of a policy that aims to protect the privacy and security of European citizens' data. By setting stringent data protection standards, the EU seeks to assert its influence in the global digital economy.

Strategic Partnerships

Strategic partnerships play a crucial role in the pursuit of technological sovereignty. Great powers engage in collaborations with other nations,

international organizations, and private sector entities to leverage their collective capabilities.

The United States has established strategic partnerships with allies such as Japan, South Korea, and the European Union to enhance technological cooperation. For example, the US-Japan Strategic Energy Partnership focuses on joint research in energy technologies, while the US-EU Trade and Technology Council aims to promote transatlantic cooperation in emerging technologies.

China has also pursued strategic partnerships to advance its technological ambitions. The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) is a key component of China's strategy to enhance connectivity and cooperation with countries across Asia, Europe, and Africa[13]. Through the BRI, China seeks to build infrastructure, promote trade, and facilitate the exchange of technology and knowledge.

The Role of International Organizations

International organizations play a pivotal role in shaping the geo-economics of technological sovereignty. These organizations provide platforms for dialogue, negotiation, and the establishment of global standards.

The World Trade Organization (WTO)

The WTO is a key forum for addressing trade-related issues in the context of the 4IR. As nations implement protective measures to safeguard their technological sovereignty, the WTO provides a mechanism for resolving disputes and ensuring that trade policies adhere to established rules and principles [2].

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU)

The ITU is a specialized agency of the United Nations responsible for coordinating global telecommunications standards. In the context of the 4IR, the ITU plays a critical role in setting standards for emerging technologies such as 5G and IoT [2]. By establishing common standards, the ITU facilitates interoperability and promotes the seamless integration of new technologies into the global economy.

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)

The OECD is an international organization that promotes economic cooperation and development. In the context of the 4IR, the OECD conducts research and provides policy recommendations on issues such as digital transformation, AI ethics, and data governance. By fostering dialogue among

member countries, the OECD helps shape global policies that support technological innovation and economic growth.

Case Studies in Technological Sovereignty

The European Union's Digital Single Market

The European Union's Digital Single Market (DSM) strategy aims to create a unified digital economy that enhances the region's technological sovereignty. The DSM focuses on removing barriers to digital trade, promoting cross-border e-commerce, and fostering innovation in digital technologies.

One key initiative under the DSM is the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which sets strict standards for data protection and privacy. By implementing the GDPR, the EU seeks to establish itself as a global leader in data governance and protect the rights of its citizens in the digital age.

Another important aspect of the DSM is the promotion of digital infrastructure. The EU has invested in projects such as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) to build high-speed broadband networks and improve connectivity across member states. By enhancing digital infrastructure, the EU aims to support the growth of the digital economy and reduce disparities between regions.

Japan's Society 5.0

Japan's Society 5.0 initiative represents a vision for a technology-driven society that addresses social challenges through innovation. Society 5.0 aims to integrate physical and digital systems to create a "super-smart" society where advanced technologies enhance quality of life.

Key components of Society 5.0 include the development of smart cities, the implementation of AI in healthcare, and the promotion of sustainable energy solutions. Japan's approach to technological sovereignty emphasizes the importance of human-centered innovation and the ethical use of technology.

One notable example of Society 5.0 in action is the development of smart city projects in Japan. These projects aim to integrate advanced technologies into urban environments to create more efficient, sustainable, and livable cities. For instance, the city of Kashiwa-no-ha Smart City leverages IoT, AI, and renewable energy systems to optimize energy consumption, manage traffic flow, and enhance public services. Through these initiatives, Japan seeks to position itself as a leader in smart city development and demonstrate the potential of human-centred innovation.

The Geo-economic Implications of Technological Sovereignty Trade and Investment

The pursuit of technological sovereignty has significant implications for global trade and investment. Nations that achieve technological leadership can shape global supply chains, influence market dynamics, and attract foreign investment. Conversely, countries that lag behind in technological innovation may face economic disadvantages and dependency on foreign technologies.

For example, the dominance of American tech giants in the global digital economy has positioned the United States as a major player in international trade. US companies such as Amazon, Apple, and Google have established extensive global supply chains and attracted substantial foreign investment. This economic influence extends to areas such as intellectual property rights, where the United States advocates for strong protections to safeguard its technological assets.

China's rise as a technological powerhouse has also reshaped global trade dynamics. Chinese companies like Huawei and Alibaba have expanded their operations internationally, creating new opportunities for trade and investment. Additionally, China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) aims to enhance connectivity and infrastructure development across multiple regions, facilitating the flow of goods, services, and technology.

Intellectual Property Rights

Intellectual property (IP) rights are a critical component of technological sovereignty. Nations that invest in innovation and develop cutting-edge technologies seek to protect their IP to maintain a competitive advantage. The enforcement of IP rights is also essential for fostering innovation and encouraging investment in R&D.

The United States has long been a proponent of strong IP protections. The country has established robust legal frameworks to safeguard patents, copyrights, and trademarks. American companies benefit from these protections, enabling them to commercialize their innovations and generate revenue.

China's approach to IP has evolved significantly in recent years. Historically, China has faced criticism for weak IP enforcement and widespread counterfeiting. However, the Chinese government has implemented reforms to strengthen IP protections and encourage innovation. Measures such as the establishment of specialized IP courts and increased penalties for infringement demonstrate China's commitment to improving its IP regime.

Standards and Regulations

The establishment of standards and regulations for emerging technologies is a key aspect of the geo-economics of technological sovereignty. Nations that lead in setting global standards can influence the development and adoption of new technologies, shaping the competitive landscape.

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and other international organizations play a vital role in coordinating global standards for technologies such as 5G, IoT, and AI. Great powers actively participate in these organizations to promote their interests and ensure that standards align with their technological capabilities.

The United States and China have been particularly active in the race to set standards for 5G technology. The competition extends to regulatory frameworks, where both nations advocate for policies that support their respective industries. The outcome of these efforts will have far-reaching implications for the deployment and governance of 5G networks worldwide.

Technological Sovereignty and National Security

The pursuit of technological sovereignty is closely linked to national security considerations. Advanced technologies have the potential to enhance military capabilities, improve intelligence gathering, and protect critical infrastructure. As a result, great powers prioritize the development and control of key technologies to safeguard their national security interests.

Military Applications

Technological innovations such as AI, quantum computing, and cyber technologies have significant implications for military capabilities. Great powers invest in research and development to harness these technologies for defense purposes. For example, AI can be used to enhance autonomous systems, improve decision-making processes, and develop new forms of warfare.

The United States has established initiatives such as the Joint Artificial Intelligence Center (JAIC) to coordinate the development and integration of AI technologies into military operations. Similarly, China's military modernization efforts include substantial investments in AI and other advanced technologies to enhance its strategic capabilities.

Cyber security

Cybersecurity is a critical aspect of national security in the 4IR era. The interconnectedness of digital systems creates vulnerabilities that can be exploited by malicious actors. Great powers implement cyber security measures to protect their technological infrastructure, data, and intellectual property from cyber threats.

The United States has established the cyber security and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) to coordinate efforts to safeguard critical infrastructure from cyber threats. China has also implemented cyber security policies to protect its digital ecosystem, including the establishment of the Cyberspace Administration of China (CAC) to oversee internet security and data protection.

Supply Chain Security

Securing supply chains for critical technologies is a key priority for great powers. The global nature of supply chains creates dependencies on foreign suppliers, which can pose risks to national security [14]. Nations seek to mitigate these risks by diversifying suppliers, promoting domestic production, and implementing export controls.

The United States has taken measures to secure its supply chains for technologies such as semiconductors and rare earth elements. For example, the CHIPS for America Act aims to incentivize domestic semiconductor manufacturing and reduce reliance on foreign suppliers [15]. China has also invested in building resilient supply chains, including efforts to develop domestic capabilities in key areas such as semiconductor production.

Ethical Considerations and Technological Sovereignty

The pursuit of technological sovereignty raises important ethical considerations. As nations develop and deploy advanced technologies, they must address issues related to privacy, data protection, and the ethical use of AI.

Privacy and Data Protection

Privacy and data protection are critical concerns in the digital age. Nations implement policies to safeguard citizens' data and ensure that technologies are used responsibly [16]. The European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is a landmark example of legislation that sets stringent standards for data protection and privacy.

The United States has also taken steps to address privacy concerns. For example, the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) grants consumers rights over their data and imposes obligations on businesses to protect data privacy

[17]. Similarly, China's Personal Information Protection Law (PIPL) establishes comprehensive data protection standards and regulates the collection and use of personal information.

AI Ethics

The ethical use of AI is a key consideration for technological sovereignty. Nations and international organizations are developing guidelines and frameworks to ensure that AI technologies are used in ways that are fair, transparent, and accountable[18],[19],[20],[21],[22],[23],[24],[25].

The European Union has published ethical guidelines for trustworthy AI, which emphasize principles such as human agency, fairness, and transparency. The United States has also established initiatives such as the National AI Initiative Office to promote the ethical development and use of AI technologies. China's approach to AI ethics includes principles outlined in the Beijing AI Principles, which emphasize the responsible and beneficial use of AI.

Conclusion

As the Fourth Industrial Revolution continues to unfold, the geo-economics of technological sovereignty will remain a critical focal point of Great Power politics. Nations must navigate the complexities of technological interdependence while striving to protect their economic and strategic interests. The dynamic interplay between geopolitical rivalry, economic policies, and ethical considerations will shape the future of global technological landscapes.

Author Contributions

Mukhtar Imam: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Almuhibbah Open University for their support and encouragement throughout the development of this paper. I also extend my heartfelt thanks to the anonymous reviewer for providing invaluable feedback and suggestions, which greatly contributed to enhancing the quality of this work. Their insights have been essential in refining the arguments and ensuring the clarity of the research.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding

This research did not receive any financial support.

Bibliography

[1] A. da Ponte, G. Leon, and I. Alvarez, "Technological sovereignty of the EU in advanced 5G mobile communications: An empirical approach," *Telecomm. Policy*, vol. 47, no. 1, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2022.102459.

[2] E. Schneider, "Germany's Industrial strategy 2030, EU competition policy and the Crisis of New Constitutionalism. (Geo-)political economy of a contested paradigm shift," *New Polit. Econ.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 241–258, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2022.2091535.

[3] V. Eatough and L. Tomkins, "Qualitative methods," in *Language and Emotion: An International Handbook*, vol. 1, London, United Kingdom: De Gruyter, 2022, pp. 163–182. doi: https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110347524-008.

[4] L. Levin and S. Forward, "Explaining Data Analysis Using Qualitative Methods," in *International Encyclopedia of Transportation: Volume 1-7*, vol. 7, Swedish National Road and Transport Research Institute, Sweden: Elsevier, 2021, pp. 107–112. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102671-7.10668-2.

[5] C. Alfonso and Á. Antelo, "Quantitative and qualitative methods, primary methods," in *Environmental Toxicology*, Plaza Santo Domingo 20-5a, Lugo, 27001, Spain: De Gruyter, 2018, pp. 58–90. doi: https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110442045-003.

[6] M. Boumezrag, "Qualitative methods and mixed methods," in *Translational Interventional Radiology*, Medstar Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, DC, United States: Elsevier, 2023, pp. 233–234. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-823026-8.15001-1.

[7] I. Tshabangu, S. Ba', and S. M. Madondo, "Qualitative Methods in Research: Alternative Approaches and Navigating Complexities," in *Research Anthology on Innovative Research Methodologies and Utilization Across Multiple Disciplines*, Leeds Trinity University, United Kingdom: IGI Global, 2022, pp. 587–603. doi: https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-3881-7.ch030.

[8] A. Cissé and A. Rasmussen, "Qualitative Methods," in *Comprehensive Clinical Psychology, Second Edition*, vol. 3, Fordham University, Bronx, NY,

United States: Elsevier, 2022, pp. 91–103. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818697-8.00216-8.

[9] K. Malec and D. A. Belluck, "Scientific library research for risk assessment," in *A Practical Guide to Understanding, Managing, and Reviewing Environmental Risk Assessment Reports*, University of Minnesota, United States: CRC Press, 2001, pp. 447–455. [Online]. Available: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85056060576&partnerID=40&md5=38e85e8c68df93e7f2e63e74ed3a3078

[10] A. B. Antipov, "Achieving technological sovereignty through unrelated diversification of state corporations: The case of Rosatom," *Vopr. Ekon.*, vol. 2025, no. 3, pp. 76–96, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.32609/0042-8736-2025-3-76-96.

[11] V. A. Yasinskii and M. Y. Kozhevnikov, "The Struggle for Technological Sovereignty: China's Experience and Lessons for Russia," *Stud. Russ. Econ. Dev.*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 704–712, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1134/S1075700723050167.

[12] C. March and I. Schieferdecker, "Technological Sovereignty as Ability, Not Autarky," *Int. Stud. Rev.*, vol. 25, no. 2, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/isr/viad012.

[13] T. Gareev, "Technological sovereignty: From conceptual contradiction to practical implementation," *Terra Econ.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 38–54, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.18522/2073-6606-2023-21-4-38-54.

[14] D. A. Degterev, D. A. Piskunov, and A. A. Eremin, "U.S. – CHINA RIVALRY IN LATIN AMERICA: AT THE ORIGINS OF TECHNOLOGICAL DECOUPLING," *Polis. Polit. Stud.*, no. 3, pp. 20–38, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.17976/jpps/2023.03.03.

[15] A. Russo, "Strategic Industries Between Geopolitical Instability and Back-Reshoring. The Restructuring of Global Value Chains in the Semiconductor, Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Industries," *Industria*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 345–380, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1430/108684.

[16] V. J. Del Casino, L. House-Peters, J. W. Crampton, and H. Gerhardt, "The Social Life of Robots: The Politics of Algorithms, Governance, and Sovereignty," *Antipode*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 605–618, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12616.

[17] K. P. Stozhko, S. N. Nekrasov, D. K. Stozhko, A. V Shilovtsev, and T. N. Makarova, "The political economy of technological sovereignty: On the transformation of the concept into the scientific category," in *E3S Web of*

Conferences, L. O., I. S., N. O., K. V., and R. A., Eds., Ural State Agrarian University, Yekaterinburg, Russian Federation: EDP Sciences, 2023. doi: https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202339505005.

[18] M. Imam, "Sudan's Humanitarian Crisis and The War of Military Elites: Prospects for a Resolution," *Bull. Islam. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.69526/bir.v1i1.332.

[19] European Commission. (2019). The Digital Single Market: State of Play. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/digital-strategy.

[20] European Commission. (2020). A European Strategy for Data. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/.

[21] He, L. (2020). "The Geopolitics of 5G and the US-China Rivalry." Journal of International Affairs, 73(2), 85-102.

[22] Kissinger, H., Schmidt, E., & Huttenlocher, D. (2021). The Age of AI: And Our Human Future. Little, Brown and Company.

[23] Mazzucato, M. (2018). The Value of Everything: Making and Taking in the Global Economy. PublicAffairs.

[24] National Bureau of Asian Research. (2021). China's Technological Ambitions and the Future of Global Competition.

[25] Schwab, K. (2016). The Fourth Industrial Revolution. Crown Business.

Copyright